# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

Witold Jachym,	Case No.
Plaintiff,	
V.	
Carson Smithfield, LLC c/o CT Corporation System 208 S. LaSalle Street, Suite 814 Chicago, IL 60604,	COMPLAINT
Defendant.	Jury Demand Requested

## **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 1- This court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d).
- 2- Venue is proper because a substantial part of the events giving rise to this claim occurred in this District.

## **PARTIES**

- 3- Plaintiff is a resident of the State of Illinois.
- 4- Plaintiff incurred an obligation to pay money, the primary purpose of which was for personal, family, or household uses (the õDebtö).
- 5- Defendant is with its principal place of business in the State of New York.
- 6- Defendant uses instruments of interstate commerce for its principal purpose of business, which it the collection of debts.
- 7- Defendant regularly attempts to collects, or attempts to collect, debts owed or due another.
- 8- At all times relevant, Defendant owned the Debt or was retained to collect the Debt.

#### FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 9- Prior to March 11, 2016, Plaintiff incurred a debt with Nationwide Bank (the õDebtö).
- 10- Prior March 11, 2016, Plaintiff defaulted on the Debt.
- 11- On March 11, 2016, Plaintiff filed a bankruptcy petition that included the Debt.
- 12-Upon information and belief, after March 11, 2016, Defendant received the Debt for collection.
- 13- On June 7, 2016, Plaintiff received a discharge that included the Debt.
- 14- Despite the petition and the discharge, on or around January 10, 2018, Defendant sent Plaintiff a letter to collect the Debt.
- 15- In the Letter, Defendant misrepresented the amount and status of the Debt.
- 16- Defendant damaged Plaintiff.
- 17- Defendant violated the FDCPA.

### **COUNT I**

- 18-Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.
- 19- Defendant violated 15 USC § 1692e(2) by attempting to collect a debt that Defendant knew, or should have known, was included in Plaintifføs bankruptcy, thereby misrepresenting the legal status of the debt

#### **COUNT II**

- 20-Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.
- 21-Defendant violated 15 USC § 1692e(10) by falsely representing to Plaintiff that it could collect a debt that Defendant knew, or should have known, was included in Plaintifføs bankruptcy.

#### **COUNT III**

- 22-Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.
- 23-Defendant violated 15 USC § 1692f by unfairly and unconscionably trying to collect a debt that Defendant knew, or should have known, was included in Plaintiff® bankruptcy.

# **JURY DEMAND**

24- Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

# **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

- 25-Plaintiff prays for the following relief:
  - a. Judgment against Defendant for Plaintiff

    actual damages, as determined at trial, suffered as a direct and proximate result Defendant

    violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 

    §1692k(a)(1);

  - c. Judgment against Defendant for Plaintifføs reasonable attorneysø fees and costs incurred in this action, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(3); and
  - d. Any other legal and/or equitable relief as the Court deems appropriate.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Meier LLC

By: <u>/s/ Richard J. Meier</u> Richard J. Meier

401 N. Michigan Ave, Suite 1200

Chicago, IL 60611

Tel: 312-242-1849 Fax: 312-242-1841

richard@meierllc.com
Attorney for Plaintiff